IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 9TH AUGUST 2007 / 18TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 183 of 2004(V)

PETITIONER:

P.M. ABDUL SALAM, AGED 48 YEARS,
S/O. P.M. MEERANNAN, PARACKAL HOUSE,
KANJIRAPPALLY.

BY ADV. SRI.T.M.ABDUL LATHEEF

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,**
   REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, VAIDHYUTHI BHAVAN,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. **EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ELECTRICAL MAJOR**
   SECTION, KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
   KANJIRAPPALLY.

3. **ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,**
   ELECTRICAL MAJOR SECTION, KERALA STATE
   ELECTRICITY BOARD, KANJIRAPPALLY.

4. **ASSISTANT ENGINEER, PUBLIC WORKS**
   DEPARTMENT, KANJIRAPPALLY.

5. **ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,**
   KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.C.K.KARUNAKARAN, SC FOR KSEB

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 09/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C) NO: 183/2004 V

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1. COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE COMPOUND OF THE SCHOOL AND THE VACANT SPACE.

EXT.P2. COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE VACANT SPACE IN KANJIRAPPALLY TOWN.

EXT.P3. COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE VACANT SPACE IN KANJIRAPPALLY TOWN.

EXT.P4. COPY OF THE COMMISSION REPORT DATED 16/11/2002 FILED BEFOREE THE MUNSIFF COURT, KANJIRAPPALLY IN OS NO. 306/2002.

EXT.P5. COPY OF THE PLAINT IN OS NO. 306/2002 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE MUNSIFF COURT, KANJIRAPPALLY DATED NIL.

EXT.P6. COPY OF THE PETITION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE R5 DT. 19/12/03.

EXT.P7. COPY OF THE NEWS ITEM APPEARED IN MADHYAMAM DAILY DT. 17/12/03.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS/APPENDIX

ANNEXURE (1): COPY OF THE SITE PLAN AND SKETCH.

ANNEXURE (2): COPY OF THE SKETCH AND REPORT.

True copy

tga

KURIAN JOSEPH J.

W.P.(C) No.183 of 2004

Dated 9th August, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner approached this Court aggrieved by the proposal for installation of a transformer. According to the petitioner, drawing of electric lines to and from such installation would cause serious hardships for the convenient enjoyment of his property. It is seen that the petitioner has already approached the 5th respondent as per Ext.P6. There will be a direction to the 5th respondent to look into Ext.P6 with notice to the petitioner and any other affected party and take appropriate action thereon in accordance with law, within four months from the date of production of a copy of the judgment by the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

tgs